

Grand Summary for Project 997

The following table shows the summary of results in the various documents of Project 997.

Algorithm	Equation #	Upper Mean Penalty Factor	Document Files Part Number
$\text{frac}(\pi+9997*r)$	End of section 2	147.6264391	1
$\text{frac}(0.8502+1237*r)$	End of section 2	147.7205	1
$\text{frac}(0.047907 + 15701*r)$	End of section 2	147.7246371	1
$\text{frac}(\pi + 110011*r)$	End of section 2	147.9719176	1
Double-random number generators ^[1]	5.1, 5.2	143.0952581	1
Whichmann-Hill Variant	2.5, 2.6	147.781193	2
ACORN Variant 1	2.2	147.769423	3
ACORN Variant 2	3.2	147.7426001	3
MRG32a Variant 1	2.2	147.773747	4
MRG32a Variant 2	3.1	147.7724717	4
Power Method version 1	2.2	147.776513	5
LCGM Squared version 1	2.2	147.6341104	6
LCGM Squared version 2	3.2	147.1263891	6
LCGM Cubed version 1	2.2	147.763261	7
LCGM Cubed version 2	3.2	144.480268	7

Table 1. Summary results listed by document part number.

Table 2 shows the results sorted by the upper mean penalty factors. The double-random number generators and the LCGM cubed version2 have significant advantages over the other algorithms.

Algorithm	Equation #	Upper Mean Penalty Factor	Document Files Part Number
Double-random number generators ^[1]	5.1, 5.2	143.0952581	1

Grand Summary for Project 997

LCGM Cubed version 2	3.2	144.480268	7
LCGM Squared version 2	3.2	147.1263891	6
frac($\pi+9997*r$)	End of section 2	147.6264391	1
LCGM Squared version 1	2.2	147.6341104	6
frac($0.8502+1237*r$)	End of section 2	147.7205	1
frac($0.047907 + 15701*r$)	End of section 2	147.7246371	1
ACORN Variant 2	3.2	147.7426001	3
LCGM Cubed version 1	2.2	147.763261	7
ACORN Variant 1	2.2	147.769423	3
MRG32a Variant 2	3.1	147.7724717	4
MRG32a Variant 1	2.2	147.773747	4
Power Method version 1	2.2	147.776513	5
Whichmann-Hill Variant	2.5, 2.6	147.781193	2
frac($\pi + 110011*r$)	End of section 2	147.9719176	1

Table 2. Summary results sorted by the values of the upper mean penalty factors.

The best algorithm is:

$$z = \text{frac}(991*r_n) \quad (5.1 \text{ in Part 1})$$

$$r_{n+1} = \text{frac}(11111*z) \quad (5.2 \text{ in Part 1})$$

The second-best algorithm is:

$$M = 2^{24}-1$$

$$a_0 = 34876$$

$$a_1 = 9754$$

$$a_2 = 45847$$

$$a_3 = 29574$$

$$\text{ix}(1) = \text{round}(\text{rand}*11, 0);$$

$$\text{ix}(2) = a_0+a_1*\text{ix}(1) \bmod M$$

for i=1 to maximum number of random numbers

$$\text{ix}(3) = a_0+a_1*\text{ix}(1)^2 + a_2*\text{ix}(2) \bmod M$$

$$x(i) = \text{ix}(3)/M$$

$$\text{ix}(1:2) = \text{ix}(2:3)$$

end

(3.2 in Part 7)

Grand Summary for Project 997

The value $x(i)$ is the uniform random number generated in the range of 0 to 1 (excluded) in each iteration.

[1] All the results of the various flavors of the double-random number generators in tables 5.1 and 5.2 of Part 1 show values for the upper mean penalty factors below 147! So basically, any one of the algorithms should be a good PRNG.